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OVER THE PAST DECADE, KANSAS CITY, Missouri (KCMO), has become more and more aware of the land use, development, and urban connectivity issues posed by the Interstate 70/670/35 Loop surrounding the central business district (CBD) and creating barriers between the CBD and the River Market and Crossroads neighborhoods.

In 2016, Missouri announced significant long-term maintenance issues with and concerns about the Buck O’Neil Bridge, which carries U.S. Route 169 over the Missouri River. This bridge connection is one of three primary routes across the Missouri River linking the northern and southern portions of KCMO, while providing access to the Charles B. Wheeler Downtown Airport. With the state beginning to examine options for repairing and replacing the bridge, the city believes now is a good time to take a comprehensive look at the interstate and local road network that connects and affects the Buck O’Neil Bridge at the northwest corner of the Downtown Loop.

Given the opportunity afforded by the state’s Buck O’Neil Bridge evaluations, the city thought it would also be appropriate to simultaneously study the potential for the redesign, repurposing, or elimination of the interstate on the north side of the CBD. The city had previously evaluated a similar issue on the south side of the CBD, which resulted in some recommendations and considerations for putting a “lid” over all or part of I-670. The city also supported a local ULI technical assistance panel (TAP) in 2016 that recommended some intriguing redevelopment and urban design possibilities.

Over the course of the past few decades, downtown Kansas City has experienced impressive growth, with multibillion-dollar investments from both the public and private sectors. Consequently, that growth has called into question the current urban design of the downtown area and its ability to meet the needs and desires of a 21st-century city. The current configuration of highways around the Downtown Loop emphasizes the circulation of people around the city rather than within it, restricting growth and connectivity to its adjacent neighborhoods.

The Economic Development Corporation of Kansas City (EDCKC) asked the Advisory Services panel to reexamine a focus area on the north side of the Downtown Loop (the North Loop) and to offer recommendations that maximize downtown development potential while also balancing the connectivity of the surrounding neighborhoods and regions.

The Panel’s Assignment

The EDCKC asked the panel to analyze local market conditions and to provide programmatic recommendations for the North Loop. The EDCKC also asked the panel to consider the economic and community impacts of redesigning, or possibly reducing, highway circulation. The panel was given two primary alternatives to evaluate:
Alternative 1: Eliminate I-70 on the north side of the CBD and lower Missouri Route 9 to grade.

Alternative 2: Redesign I-70 on the north side of the CBD, reducing the land area absorbed by highway use, and lower Route 9 to grade.

In coordination with the Greater Downtown Area Plan and the AdvanceKC Initiative, the EDCKC defines the city’s economic goals for the study area as the following:

- Increased development density in downtown Kansas City.
- Additional property tax revenues.
- Increased potential for a significantly high-impact project that improves land values, and employment and economic activity.
- Elimination of physical and psychological barriers that could interfere with development opportunities in adjacent communities.

The panel was also asked to consider the following factors for any recommended land uses:

- Environmental impacts.
- Quality-of-life impacts on adjacent communities.
- Transition of land use from the CBD to River Market.
- Regional effects on Kansas City, Missouri, and Kansas City, Kansas.
- Economic effects of replacing transportation infrastructure with physical development.
- Potential tradeoffs of placemaking versus maximizing dollar return on investment.

In addition to the preceding factors, the panel was asked to focus on the following core questions:

- What is the value of the land within the focus area?
- What is its optimal use, considering the effects on the adjacent communities, Kansas City’s goals and vision for its future development, and the effects on the transportation infrastructure?

Moreover, the panel was asked to specifically evaluate the following areas:

Planning and strategy

- What are successful examples of reduced highway capacity providing greater benefits to the region compared with maintaining current roadway patterns?
- What are examples of how communities have financed this type of work?
- What are examples of phasing development by similar projects?

Development analysis

- To determine the optimal placement of parcels, which portion(s) of the study area have the most value for achieving the four economic goals listed above?
- What programmatic uses would be most effective in the study area (public versus private use, green space, commercial versus residential mix)?
- In evaluating potential redesign options for the interstate in Alternative 2, what is the best new alignment for development purposes?

Implementation

- What is a recommended timeline for development (e.g., phasing, incremental versus finding a large anchor tenant, sequencing public and private financing)?
- What available funding mechanisms should Kansas City explore?
- What are optimal financing strategies for site preparation and holding costs until redevelopment occurs?
- What are recommended implementation steps to prepare for the redevelopment of the study area?
Summary of the Panel’s Recommendations

It was evident to the panel during its interviews with community stakeholders, its review of previous studies and reports including the 2016 TAP developed by ULI Kansas City and the current “Beyond the Loop” study, and its multiple study tours throughout Kansas City that the city has done a tremendous amount of work in starting to address connectivity issues in the downtown area, the North Loop area, and its surrounding neighborhoods. The panel hopes this report will serve as a blueprint for understanding optimal planning and development strategies for the North Loop area and approaches that can benefit all of Kansas City.

Throughout this report, many recommendations will be presented in detail. The panel’s primary recommendations are summarized as follows:

■ Develop a comprehensive downtown master plan that emphasizes a vision for Kansas City. This master plan should incorporate open-space systems, circulation, transportation, land use, and green infrastructure, focusing on how those systems will work together. The creation of a master plan not only is important for prioritizing the next steps of planning and development, but also presents a key opportunity to encourage authentic, out-of-the-box thinking about civic engagement.

■ Increase active and creative community engagement. Kansas City is rich with engaged and passionate citizens who want to make the city a dynamic, thriving place to live and work. A diverse spectrum of voices should be brought into the tent and partnered with in outreach and engagement strategies moving forward. Traditional, creative, and new approaches should be used to reach out to and engage neighborhood groups, religious institutions, nonprofits, community development financial institutions, cultural organizations, and the general downtown population to ensure that a true mix of voices and perspectives contributes to and helps shape the planning decisions.

■ Continue focusing on educational opportunities. The panel heard about both great successes in recent educational initiatives—such as Turn the Page KC—and the staggering statistic that enrollment in the Kansas City School District has declined from nearly 70,000 students to 17,000. It is vital for the downtown’s success, and the city’s success more generally, that positive education efforts continue and grow.

■ Focus on regional collaboration. Kansas City, Missouri, and Kansas City, Kansas, spend a significant amount of time and money competing for the same projects. Regional cooperation is essential for both cities to thrive and to create a comprehensive vision that not only benefits both cities, but also improves the quality of life for the larger region.

■ Expand the streetcar. The Kansas City Streetcar has been a huge success, evidenced by achievement of its ridership goal, which more than doubled in its first year. The KC Streetcar should be extended into all neighborhoods in the downtown area to create a more connected and mobile city.

■ Focus on downtown infill as an immediate development opportunity. The panel believes that the most successful short-term development strategy is to focus on downtown infill, rather than new, ground-up development. Based on the panel’s analysis, the residual land value in the North Loop area is negative, and any development should focus first on existing parcels in the surrounding areas.
■ Bring Missouri Route 9 and the Heart of America Bridge back to grade. Doing so will reconnect neighborhoods, reduce traffic conflicts in the North Loop area, and create the opportunity to reconnect Independence Avenue to downtown. This re-stitching will accomplish many of the social and physical goals of reconnecting downtown to River Market.

■ Reconnect Independence Avenue to downtown. The Independence Avenue commercial district could serve as a culturally diverse food and retail amenity for downtown if it is easier to reach. Reconnecting the street as a boulevard not only would bring economic benefits to the Independence Avenue area, but also would connect these two neighborhoods and make both downtown and Independence Avenue accessible to more residents and visitors.

■ Pause plans to redevelop the North Loop. Returning to the original question of what to do with the North Loop, the panel agreed that a vision of redevelopment is possible, but not today. Any major changes in the section of the highway should come out of a strategic visioning exercise for the city and should follow the completion of the city’s priority initiatives.
Study Area and Surrounding Context

THE NORTH LOOP STUDY AREA includes the neighborhoods adjacent to and encompassed by the Downtown Loop. The Loop comprises I-35 to the northeast and southwest, I-70 to the east and west, U.S. Route 169 and Missouri Route 9 to the north, and U.S. Route 71 to the south. This area includes several neighborhoods—the CBD, River Market, Crossroads, West Bottoms, Pendleton Heights, and Columbus Park—each of which has experienced significant residential growth in the past two decades. That growth has been stunted by the physical and psychological barriers created by the Downtown Loop.

The panel has focused primarily on the areas in and around the North Loop section of the highway, with careful attention paid to its disconnect with its surrounding communities and how that in turn shrinks the greater business district into fragmented sections and impedes the city’s overall connectivity.

The study area currently has 300 undeveloped acres. The area contains office, residential, and a small amount of industrial product uses. Because of growing residential demands, multiple office buildings have been converted to residential use and most new development is residential. The area has two main public transportation systems: MAX, which is RideKC’s bus rapid transit line, and the new KC Streetcar. Both MAX and the streetcar are working on expanding their routes to reach more of the greater downtown area.

The focus area (described below) zooms in on the area directly surrounding the North Loop. South of the highway is the CBD, which has mainly offices with some new residential developments and conversions. North of the highway are the residential neighborhoods of River Market and Columbus Park. Historically, those two neighborhoods housed similar demographics and were considered the birthplace of Kansas City. Today, they are separated from each other by the Heart of America Bridge and have distinct economic and social makeups.

Columbus Park is a typical lower-income area that is in the beginning stages of gentrification. It continues to have lower rents relative to the rest of the city. River Market has experienced gentrification at a more rapid rate, resulting in a surge of new development, higher rents, and an influx of younger and more affluent residents.

Key Focus Areas

The specific boundaries of the study area and focus area are as follows:

Study area. The overall study area is bounded on the west by the state line, on the east by Paseo Boulevard, on the...
Focus area. The targeted focus area is a portion of the study area, bounded on the north by Third Street, on the south by Eighth Street, on the west by Broadway Boulevard, and on the east by Charlotte Street.

The study area encompasses a large swath of downtown neighborhoods, while the focus area zooms in on the area directly adjacent to the North Loop. For its study, the panel slightly adjusted these boundaries; the modifications are outlined later in the report.
Where the City Is Now: Economic and Market Snapshot

**THIS SECTION REVIEWS SELECTED** economic indicators and provides an economic overview for Kansas City, Missouri, and the larger region, and highlights important economic characteristics that influence the study area. It then looks more specifically at local market conditions and what they mean for the study area and larger region.

**Selected Kansas City Economic Indicators/Economic Overview**

Economic growth and economic development generally move in tandem. There is, however, a slight but distinct difference between the two (see figure 1).

*Economic growth* refers to growth in outputs. For example, economic growth occurs when the population of a city increases over time even if average salaries of workers remain constant.

*Economic development* occurs when the structure of the economy changes over time, and those changes result in growth in outputs. For example, the number of jobs in the construction industry in a city increases because the demand for new housing exceeds the supply of existing housing. More tradespeople are needed to build new housing, and the demand for those construction workers leads employers to pay higher salaries and wages.

*Economic resiliency* refers to the capacity and ability of the economic base of a defined region (e.g., nation, state, county, city, or neighborhood) to return to (or improve on) its prior state after an external adverse shock leads to economic stress in the region. A dramatic increase in the price of gasoline per gallon at the pump because of an oil embargo or the displacement of people and jobs in a city because of a flood or hurricane is an example of an external shock to the economic base.

**Population, Employment, and Gross Regional Product Trends, 1970 to 2050**

In the past 40 years, KCMO and the metropolitan statistical area (Kansas City, Missouri/Kansas MSA) have grown dramatically in population and employment; that growth is expected to continue over the next 40 years. The following analyses compare Kansas City and its MSA (the Kansas City region) in the context of the state of Missouri and the St. Louis, Missouri/Illinois MSA (the St. Louis region). Note that some counties in the Kansas City MSA are in Kansas, and some counties in the St. Louis MSA are located in Illinois. For the purpose of this report, regions are presented and discussed for comparison only.

**Figure 1: Understanding Economic Development**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic growth</td>
<td>Growth in outputs</td>
<td>Population grows over time; income remains constant</td>
<td>Change in aggregate income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic development</td>
<td>Growth in outputs from a change in the structure of industry sectors</td>
<td>Employment grows by sector; income grows over time</td>
<td>Change in income per capita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic resiliency</td>
<td>The capacity and ability of the economic base to return to (or improve on) its previous state after an external adverse economic shock or stress</td>
<td>Changes in global, national, or regional economies caused by external factors</td>
<td>Change in gross domestic product (city, county, state, nation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: ULI; Urban Analytics Inc.
Population. In 1970, the population of the Kansas City region was 1,420,070. By 2050, the regional population is projected to more than double to 2,846,878 (see figure 2).

In 1970, the population of the St. Louis region was 2,519,712; it is projected to grow to 3,129,926 by 2050. If the growth trends continue at their current pace, the population of the Kansas City region will likely exceed that of the St. Louis region sometime between 2050 and 2060.

Employment. In 1970, the number of people employed in the Kansas City region was 675,661; employment is projected to reach 2,029,063 workers by 2050 (figure 3).

In 1970, the number of employed people in the St. Louis region was 1,119,826; by 2050, employment is projected to reach 2,364,674 workers. In 1970, Missouri had 2,207,944 people employed; by 2050, that number is projected to reach 4,926,753.

Gross regional product per capita. Gross regional product (GRP, also known as gross domestic product) is the measure of the total value of goods and services produced in a region in one year. This measure of economic growth can be stated on an aggregate basis or on a per-capita basis. When reported on a per-capita basis, it is easy to compare the economic health of one region with that of another.
In 1970, the per-capita GRP for the Kansas City region (in 2009 dollars) was $23,443, compared with $24,175 for the St. Louis region. That same year, the per-capita GRP for Missouri was $21,949 (figure 4).

By 2050, the per-capita GRP for the Kansas City region is projected to grow to $70,621 compared with $78,534 for the St. Louis region. In 2050, per-capita GRP for Missouri is projected to be $60,015.

**Economic Overview Summary**

For its economic health, the Kansas City region must continue to apply economic growth and economic development strategies designed to strengthen economic resilience in the face of potential, unknown future external shocks, whether those shocks come from international or national sources, or from regional or local sources. The region cannot rely on its historical economic drivers to sustain its economy into the future.

External pressure from imported goods priced significantly lower than comparable U.S. goods threatens the economy of all counties and cities in the United States. Fluctuations in the gross domestic product of foreign countries and increasing international political and economic instability may hurt the economy of the Kansas City region. Although hard to imagine, today’s economic reality is that economic events occurring halfway around the world can and do affect local economic development.

**Local Market Conditions**

The 2010 Greater Downtown Area Plan has several goals, including (a) doubling the downtown population, (b) increasing employment, (c) creating a walkable downtown, and (d) retaining and promoting authentic neighborhoods and sustainability. As part of its analysis, the panel was asked to consider quality-of-life impacts on adjacent communities, regional impacts on the Kansas City MSA, and potential tradeoffs of placemaking versus maximizing return on investment.

**Current Conditions and Trends, and Projected Change**

The panel assembled a high-level view of the focus area, using the MSA as a reference point for comparison.

**Population.** The Kansas City MSA had a total population of just over 2 million in 2010 (see figure 5).
Refined Definition of the Focus Area

For purposes of review and recommendations related to economic analysis in this section, the panel has refined its definition of the focus area—hereafter referred to as the modified focus area—to include the River Market, Columbus Square, downtown central business district, and Crossroads neighborhoods.

It is important to note that the CBD population grew from 8,581 in 2000 to 12,165 in 2015. (The downtown CBD numbers are for that part of Kansas City that lies in Jackson County.) The increase in the downtown population compared with a decrease in the county’s population over the same period indicates that the CBD neighborhood is a more desirable place to live than other nearby Kansas City, Missouri, addresses.

Although the increase in the CBD population is modest, it represents a positive trend—a trend to build on while looking toward the future. With respect to the region overall, the modest resurgence of interest in the CBD means that what was once a weekday- and daytime-only area is on its way to becoming a mixed-use neighborhood, which represents an encouraging trend.

The office vacancy rate for the focus area and the MSA is the same, 9.3 percent. The focus area is absorbing office space at a slower rate than is the MSA as a whole, which is consistent with faster economic growth in Kansas than in Missouri, and in suburban Johnson County, Kansas, in particular. The only new Class A office tower built in the downtown CBD in the past 25 years is the H&R Block headquarters building, which cannot be used as a guide for speculative office development because it was built for a specific owner/user.

According to ULI and Greensfelder Commercial Real Estate, several office trends are affecting office development in the focus area:

- The millennial generation, comfortable with the sharing economy, is currently 26 to 35 years old and will soon move into senior management roles.
- The millennials have formed households but have delayed marriage and childbirth later than did previous generations.
- The coming transition to family mode will lead many of these households to move to the suburbs, reversing the trend of the past decade. This trend is driven by the desire to live in good school districts with other amenities near work and home.
- Suburban offices designed for flexible work lives will be in demand, with employees and employers showing an increasing willingness to share space and telecommute.
- With women having earned 58 percent of this generation’s college degrees, female executives will play an increasingly prominent role in office space selection.

On a regional level, most new office construction has taken place in the suburbs, most notably in Johnson County.

### Figure 5: Projected Population Growth for Kansas City MSA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City MSA</td>
<td>2,013,703</td>
<td>2,195,467</td>
<td>2,426,308</td>
<td>2,645,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Jackson County</td>
<td>-7,774</td>
<td>1,918</td>
<td>8,163</td>
<td>2,307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:** ULI, U.S. Census Bureau 2050 Projections, Woods and Poole Economics Inc.

### Figure 6: Office Market in Kansas City MSA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>Absorbed</th>
<th>Delivery, year to date</th>
<th>Under construction</th>
<th>Asking rents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(sq ft)</td>
<td>(sq ft/year)</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>(sq ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA</td>
<td>103,722,656</td>
<td>3,193,772</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>1,537,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus area</td>
<td>26,514,004</td>
<td>450,130</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>142,717</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data are for the second quarter of 2017.
**Source:** Colliers International.
County. Vacancy rates have fallen in the CBD, caused by office space being converted to other uses. Thus, it is less likely that organic office growth will occur in the CBD. As noted previously, office growth in the downtown CBD will likely be user driven. Further data on the office market in the Kansas City MSA are presented in figure 6.

Residential, rental. Residential rental statistics for central Kansas City, which includes the focus area and extends south to about Brookside, are presented in figure 7.

Data specific to the focus area alone were unavailable at the time of the panel. Most focus-area projects have been rehabilitations of former office buildings, and only two new residential towers have been built—one recently completed and another under construction. ULI and Greensfelder Commercial Real Estate identified the following residential trends affecting the focus area:

- Housing rental rates will surge over the long term.
- Of the 12.5 million net new households created over the next decade nationwide, 7.3 million will rent. However, this may not be the case in the Kansas City MSA, because its affordable housing supply and elastic land supply mean homeownership is more affordable than in other parts of the country.
- Increasing retirement rates will create increased competition for good workers, indicating rising wages and higher earnings for many people. This trend also supports higher rates of homeownership.

Downtown residential development is modest compared with residential development in the MSA as a whole. The downtown population is fairly small and insufficient to generate the need for a robust shopping district. Given the trends noted earlier, it is possible that the rate of migration to the study area will be driven by baby boomers more than by millennials.

Retail. Retail space comprises a much smaller percentage of downtown real estate. Figure 8 contains the retail data for the modified focus area. According to ULI and Greensfelder Commercial Real Estate, the following retail trends are affecting the modified focus area:

- Baby boomers
  - Nationwide, by 2030, almost 70 million baby boomers will be age 65 or older, and most will be healthy.
  - Their primary purchasing categories will be food, gifts, housewares, clothes, travel, and medical-related items.
Constantino’s is Kansas City’s first downtown grocery store in 50 years and sells everything from cupboard staples to sushi. The Sundry is coming downtown in early 2018 as a retail location for its food collective.

- Their primary purchasing channels will be accessible stores, the internet, and delivery services.

Millennials

- An estimated 80 million millennials were born between 1980 and 2000; they constitute the largest generation in U.S. history.
- Millennials use technology and internet shopping freely, and they have more brand loyalty than their parents. They are also 50 percent more likely to buy on impulse than boomers.
- A retailer “making me smile” was one-third more important to millennials than to boomers.

Downtown retail is made up primarily of food and beverage and entertainment establishments. The downtown CBD has minimal daily-needs retailers, with only one drugstore and one supermarket (which opened in 2007 and was granted significant subsidies). The supermarket also has significant prepared-food offerings, which are aimed at visiting and professional patrons.

The lack of diversity in downtown commodity retail reflects the limited demand in the immediate area. Downtown is overshadowed by Country Club Plaza and nearby neighborhood shopping districts for other specialty retail offerings. Downtown residents and workers are also served by online retailers, resulting in less demand for brick-and-mortar retailers, particularly commodity retail chains and operators from which to recruit when trying to form a retail district. There will be future demand for neighborhood retail as more housing is built downtown.

In a regional context, the modified focus-area retail base is relatively insignificant with regard to square footage; however, it should not be overlooked in importance. In addition to serving residents and daytime workers, downtown retail caters to a significant visitor population. And as the Kansas City Power & Light District has matured, its retail businesses play an important supporting role in downtown being an entertainment destination. Even with these positive aspects, and even if significant new development were to occur, there is little need to fill a retail void in the immediate or larger trade areas serving downtown because other commodity and destination specialty shopping areas—such as Country Club Plaza, Midtown, the Village at Briarcliff, and Zona Rosa, and destinations such as Westport—are relatively close and easily accessible.

Hospitality. About 2,100 hotel rooms are located in the focus area (not including Crown Center), equating to 766,500 hotel room nights per year. Of the city’s 25 largest 2016 conventions, 24 were located at the Kansas City Convention Center. Those meetings used 213,373 of the available downtown hotel room nights. Several new hotel projects are proposed, including an 800-room Loews convention center hotel.

Hotels also accommodate nonmeeting events, such as Kansas City Chiefs’ National Football League games. However, even when such events are factored in, on the
The Power & Light District is an $875 million downtown mixed-use project completed in 2008. It received a ULI Award for Excellence in 2009.

surface there appears to be excess hotel space, particularly when hotels near the airport and in Johnson County are included.

Analysis

The Kansas City MSA population of about 2.1 million residents in 2010 is expected to grow by over 28 percent by 2040. If current trends continue, more than 90 percent of that population increase will occur in areas other than Jackson County, with over 250,000 in Johnson County, and over 100,000 in Clay County, Missouri.

Likewise—particularly in light of the trends outlined above—office, residential, and retail growth will follow the demand created by the population growth, so downtown will remain more of a mixed-use community.

Increased residential development and redevelopment, entertainment, and hospitality, as well as decreased office vacancy, mean the downtown core is substantially stronger than before. It has already become a true mixed-use neighborhood as well as a more compelling destination for residents and visitors. Creating a strong identity for the downtown core will only help strengthen the Kansas City MSA’s image throughout the region.

However, the focus area will be less likely to compete with established malls or Country Club Plaza as a specialty shopping destination for soft goods or as a location for commodity retailers selling electronics or other hard goods. That said, it will remain a specialty retail destination with an emphasis on food and beverage and entertainment establishments that serve the local daytime and resident populations, as well as the significant transient populations associated with conventions, meetings, and sporting events. This last category can be expected to continue to strengthen. And if the focus area’s “brand” and additional amenities such as open space are well articulated and managed, the focus area could compete with Union Station to become the area’s “public living room.”

Special attention needs to be paid to addressing the focus area’s built form. For example, active uses on ground floors, transportation linkages between submarkets, and an eye toward walking and biking connections are prerequisites for the focus area becoming increasingly desirable for businesses and residents. Those features will result in added economic value in the form of higher rents and property values. Although a skyline can define an area, low- and mid-rise construction is less expensive, has a more human scale, and can anchor a strong regional core.
THIS SECTION WILL EXPLORE PLANNING and design strategies in and around the study area by examining the current context, the historical design legacy, and possible future design and development strategies.

Study Area Planning Context and Focus Area

As mentioned earlier, the city has undertaken extensive efforts to do an in-depth analysis of the study area and to begin fully exploring its development options.

These efforts began with the need to repair or replace the Buck O’Neil (formerly Broadway) Bridge. Parallel with its evaluation, the city engaged ULI Kansas City to convene a technical assistance panel in 2016. The resulting TAP report evaluated the amount of developable land that would be available for reuse were this segment of I-70 eliminated, and concluded that about 32 acres of land could be recovered if the roadway were removed, the trench filled, and the land prepared for development.

Since presentation of the TAP report, two additional North Loop planning studies have been initiated. Along with this ULI Advisory Services panel report, a study broadly titled “Beyond the Loop,” which commenced in 2016, is preparing a planning and environmental linkages (PEL) evaluation. A PEL study is a step in the federal roadway analysis process that looks at transportation, environmental, community, and economic goals early in the planning process. It uses the information developed during planning to inform further environmental analysis and review. The local PEL study is analyzing alternatives for the Buck O’Neil Bridge, which needs repair or replacement, as well as what might be done with the North Loop interstate roadway.

In evaluating the downtown’s current status and its potential future, it is important to consider what additional elements will be necessary in order to create a vibrant mixed-use area. With the new population mix come new demands for other traditional aspects of a residential community.

Downtown Needs

The panel observed that very little public open space exists in the downtown. And the existing open space is often not programmed or well used. The panel understands that one downtown charter school uses an open space as its playground. Currently, the charter high school has fewer than 700 students. The school is based on the immersion model: it uses all of the downtown as its learning centers, including the public library for learning, the Todd Bolender Center for dance, and the Kauffman Performing Arts Center for music appreciation classes. As it moves forward with its first freshman class this year, it will need the type of open space that allows students to thrive both academically and socially.

Further, other than the Power & Light District’s “living room,” which has served as the official viewing site for U.S. World Cup games and for Big 12 and other sports fan events, the downtown has few other gathering places. Although the area at Union Station was used for the Kansas City Roy-
Critical Issues
As part of its deliberations and ultimate recommendations, the panel posed the following questions: Where does the North Loop fit within Kansas City’s and the downtown’s priorities? Is developing the North Loop a widely held priority undertaking? Does a majority of the community want development to happen?

Background, History, Planning Context
Before the Interstate Highway System was implemented, Kansas City was an industrious and growing town that was developing in accordance with the Park and Boulevard Plan by landscape architect George Kessler. The Kessler Plan, put forth in 1893 and still relevant in 1944, was a premier example of a city planning theory called the City Beautiful movement.

The City Beautiful movement was intended to build civic pride through the design of the public infrastructure system of roadways, parks, and open spaces. A City Beautiful plan would—and the Kessler Plan does—incorporate major boulevards and parkways. The parkways and boulevards in such plans were not so much about using streets to get from one place to another but about giving all residents access to civic amenities like parks, open space, and civic centers, all available to people walking, biking, and driving . . . at 15 to 25 miles per hour.

Over time, a newer, wider goal of vehicular access became clouded by the demands of speed and reduced travel times with the arrival of better technology in automobiles and a growing population far removed from the core of the city. This clouded vision changed the way people understood the city. In Kansas City, large swaths of land were dedicated to speed and efficient travel times. Today, automobiles have become even more speed efficient, and the designs of the 1950s and 1960s have become antiquated, leading to an increase in accidents, congestion, and all forms of transportation problems. But the question remains, what happened to the civic goal of giving access to all people?

Where Did Kessler’s Kansas City Go?
The City Beautiful ideals of civic pride are still very much aligned with the evident qualities of the people of Kansas City, such as honor, hometown pride, and strong civic engagement. The ideals are also appropriate for new concepts about the landscape of the city like walkability, livability, green infrastructure, and environmental justice. The panel believes it would be fitting to reenvision Kessler’s Park and Boulevard Plan as the future vision and identity for downtown Kansas City.

Many cities have embraced this kind of open-space systems-based planning. Cities like Dallas, Denver, and Minneapolis have adopted multidiscipline, multipurpose parks and open-space plans that set the table for decisions about transportation and transit engineering, active
Klyde Warren Park, Dallas, Texas

Klyde Warren Park was Dallas’s 5.2-acre answer to reconnecting a city by transforming a highway. Kansas City can take inspiration from its design, programming, and public/private partnership funding approach.

Klyde Warren Park, opened in 2012 to become Dallas’s new town square, has literally and figuratively bridged the city’s downtown cultural district with the burgeoning mixed-use neighborhoods to the north, reshaping the city and catalyzing economic development. The park brings Dallasites together in new ways and offers dozens of free activities and amenities weekly—from concerts and lectures to games and fitness classes—all within a beautiful five-acre jewel.

The park is decked over the sunken Woodall Rodgers Freeway, which was an imposing barrier between downtown and the densely populated Uptown neighborhood. Spurred by a study in 2002 that confirmed the feasibility of a “deck park” over the freeway, leaders of the Dallas business community formed the nonprofit Woodall Rodgers Park Foundation, which was responsible for operating and maintaining the new park.

Klyde Warren Park was funded through a public/private partnership totaling $110 million. Public support consisted of $20 million in bond funds from the city, $20 million in highway funds from the state, and $16.7 million in stimulus funds. The remaining $53.3 million came from individual donors through the Woodall Rodgers Park Foundation. The park is owned by the city of Dallas and is privately managed and operated by the foundation.

The park features a flexible, pedestrian-oriented design that arranges the following components around a sweeping pedestrian promenade: children’s park, reading room, great lawn, restaurant, performance pavilion, fountain plaza, games area, dog park, and botanical garden. After ten years of planning, design, fundraising, and construction, Klyde Warren Park opened in fall 2012 and was immediately embraced by the community, cementing its place as a world-class urban park.

As an urban oasis between Dallas’s downtown and Uptown districts, Klyde Warren Park has turned into a magnet for real estate development, including Trammell Crow’s three-building mixed-use complex called the Park District, featuring separate office and apartment high-rises. The Park District is under construction in Uptown directly across the street from the park, and the office tower attracted PricewaterhouseCoopers as the anchor tenant.

In 1992 following the Loma Prieta earthquake, Octavia Boulevard replaced the Central Freeway in San Francisco’s Hayes Valley neighborhood. The boulevard features Patricia’s Green in Hayes Valley, a park that hosts community exercise classes, pop-up restaurants, rotating public art, and a play area for children.

The removal of the Park East Freeway in Milwaukee in 2002 has reconnected the previous urban grid and opened up redevelopment for the surrounding neighborhood.

In downtown Kansas City, implementing an open-space system master plan will have its challenges. The panel was asked to evaluate the effects on the city’s transportation infrastructure; the North Loop is a direct example of those challenges.

Many other communities have taken a dynamic approach to dealing with interstates. The Park East Freeway in Milwaukee was replaced with an at-grade boulevard that reconnected the city to the waterfront. In San Francisco, the Central Freeway, which carried 90,000 cars per day, was replaced with Octavia Boulevard, which now carries about 30,000 fewer vehicles. Both cities have seen an increase in downtown land values, a surge in downtown redevelop-
ment, and the return to the city center of a population that had migrated to the suburbs.

But where did the cars go? A post-construction evaluation suggests that they did not magically disappear; rather, a series of shifts occurred that are hard to quantify by traditional transportation planning methods. Automobile driving shifted to other modes of transportation, like biking and walking. Regional and local traffic found alternative routes through the existing network of streets. Ridership significantly increased in both local and regional transit systems.

These examples underscore the idea that the city’s vision should not be interrupted by technicalities or the constraints of past standards.

Before the North Loop

However, before the city considers the possibilities for the North Loop, the panel believes that three other fundamental projects need to be completed. The Route 9/Heart of America Bridge should be brought down to grade as soon as physically possible after crossing the Missouri River. Doing so will reconnect historic neighborhoods, reduce conflicts in the North Loop area, and create the opportunity for the second fundamental project: reconnecting Independence Avenue. The re-stitching of this infrastructure will further serve to mend neighborhoods and connect downtown to River Market. Finally, rebuilding or replacing the Buck O’Neil Bridge will solidify another piece of critical transportation infrastructure, especially for the growing populations in the Northland.

These projects will resolve many of the unknowns regarding the North Loop project, but they will also require major investment and time. However, reinvesting in this infrastructure is critical to helping the city envision bold new ideas for downtown Kansas City.

Master Planning

A strong master plan can help articulate the values and highlight the attributes that make KCMO a great place. They can also help provide a long-range vision for the downtown, including the study area and its surrounding neighborhoods. The panel recommends that the following key elements be addressed as part of an updated master plan: (a) the open-space system, (b) a circulation/complete streets strategy, (c) land use and density, and (d) green infrastructure. Those elements are described in detail below.

Most people are aware that for a place to be competitive, it should have a beautiful public realm and purposeful design. A strong, bold vision for the city does not happen by accident. All the work that has taken place downtown in the CBD over the past ten years has not occurred by accident either. Investment in the public realm is often what attracts private investment to urban cores.

Boulevards and Urban Gathering Spaces

Boulevards are one unique aspect of KCMO. The panel believes they should be celebrated, restored, and enhanced—and, in some cases, newly built. There should also be different kinds of gathering spaces, which encourage social interaction and help cultivate the social heart of a city. Country Club Plaza is a great example, but downtown could benefit from a closer and different type of gathering space. Whether highly programmed, small or large, indoor or outdoor, such urban gathering spaces help enrich the diversity of experiences downtown. In addition, any open-space system should include more passive park space. Downtown today has some open spaces, but it needs more and different types.

One of the city’s unique features is that it is located at the confluence of the Missouri and Kansas rivers. The panel recommends celebrating the birthplace of the city on the

Reopening in 1999, Union Station has become a gathering space for city residents.
rivers by strengthening the connection to the riverfront to help create a unique Kansas City experience.

**Circulation and Complete Streets**
As the city begins thinking about an updated master plan, it should give careful consideration to streets, not only as places to move cars and vehicles, but also as destinations for people. Streets need to be safe for all modes of transportation, to include options for bike commuters, and to provide options to mitigate traffic and congestion. All these factors will not just make the city more beautiful, but also will make it more functional for all its residents.

Another part of KCMO’s history is its streetcar, a system that has been reimagined to serve the city again today. The panel applauds the city’s early successes with the KC Streetcar and strongly believes that it should be expanded to connect more key destinations throughout Kansas City. Similarly, MAX and the bus rapid transit system are great complements to the KC Streetcar and provide even more flexibility and transportation options.

**Land Use and Density, and Green Infrastructure**
Land use and density requirements need to encourage a mix of uses that help guide appropriate development patterns. In addition, the panel strongly recommends incorporating sustainability into any master plan efforts. For example, green infrastructure can help mitigate pollutants from the street, and many methods are more cost-effective than conventional infrastructure.

**Design and Development Scenarios**
When people visit the CBD, they should intuitively feel that they have arrived at someplace special. That place is urban, not suburban. The panel would like to strengthen that sense of place.

Many ideas have arisen about what could happen with the North Loop freeway. The panel believes it presents an incredible opportunity—probably a once-in-a-generation opportunity—to do something amazing with this corridor. As a roadway, the North Loop can be thought of in many ways: (a) it could be capped, (b) it could be made into a surface street, (c) it could be transformed into a boulevard, or (d) it could be removed completely.

In addition to each of those scenarios, the panel also considered what to do with that land afterward—from how to best create a combination of different open spaces to how to unlock the optimal real estate development opportunities. These various scenarios are depicted in the following illustrations.
Scenario 2: Transformation of Independence Avenue into a Boulevard

The second concept is to restore Independence Boulevard. This approach would take Missouri Route 9 down to grade, creating a new entry to downtown, and looks at the blocks that are just south of Independence as parcels for potential redevelopment. A prominent green public open and gathering space would be in the center, created by removing both Walnut Boulevard and part of Sixth Street. This scenario would allow for open space, a boulevard, and new development.

Scenario 3: Park Lid plus Superboulevard

The third concept centers on maintaining the highway. As Kansas City grows and develops over time, the transportation engineers may determine that the highway needs to remain. Similar to the approach at Klyde Warren Park in Dallas, this concept would build a deck over the highway and use Sixth Street and Independence on either side to create a superboulevard. As a result, the open space and the park lid would knit the CBD to River Market.

Scenario 4: Existing Highway plus Park Lid

The final concept is to think about creating a central park that more physically connects the CBD to River Market. The idea is an aerial grid extending from downtown into the River Market area, and would include a land bridge that is part of a central park.
Next Steps

Before any major redevelopment takes place in the study area, the panel strongly recommends updating the downtown master plan. The panel cannot overemphasize the need for a comprehensive plan defining how the modifications to the North Loop would fit with access and land uses in and around the downtown. However, the U.S. Department of Transportation first needs to make a decision regarding replacing or repairing the Buck O’Neil Bridge. The panel then suggests that Route 9 be restored to grade level and that Independence Avenue be re-stitched. After those improvements are made, it is then appropriate to reexamine development opportunities in the North Loop.

In addition, in an environment of limited financial resources, the city should refocus its efforts on public investments that will leverage private activity. As an example, the early success of the KC Streetcar is astounding, but world-class cities of the future require a robust public transit system that connects residents to jobs. The panel recognizes that efforts are underway to expand the streetcar line and strongly supports those plans. The panel encourages the city to maintain that effort and to revisit the opportunity to create an east–west expansion of the system.
AS A STARTING POINT FOR EVALUATING the land values of the study area, the panel focused on the aggressive scenario presented below because it illustrates the greatest amount of development potential and thus would theoretically provide the highest level of fiscal and economic benefit. This approach should help justify a public investment in the infrastructure costs required to reposition this portion of the I-70 North Loop freeway as a boulevard system.

To translate this land use map into development scenarios, the panel relied on the previously completed TAP report and the ongoing “Beyond the Loop” analysis, both of which evaluated a number of alternatives for development in various configurations of office, residential, and retail uses. For purposes of this analysis, the aggressive scenario has been translated into potential development density by product type (see figure 9):

Several key questions have arisen regarding land value, which the panel’s analysis will answer using a specific development scenario as a launching point:

1. What is the value of land within the focus area?
2. To determine placement of parcels, which portion of the scope area has the most value to achieve the four primary economic goals?
3. What would be the most effective programmatic uses in the study area (e.g., public versus private use, green space, commercial vs. residential mix)?
4. What are some examples of phasing the development?
5. What is a recommended timeline for development (e.g., phasing, incremental versus finding a big anchor, sequencing public and private financing)?

Residual Land Value

The simplest way to answer those questions of absolute value, effective use, and relative value among the portions of the focus area is to complete a residual land value (RLV) analysis for the panel’s base development scenario. Commonly used by appraisers and developers, RLV is a method of approximating land value that considers several critical (and current) market factors. The RLV calculation depends on estimates of future revenue, expenses, return, and risk expectations, and it involves forecasting cash flows related to a potential development project. Thus, these analyses include a considerable amount of variability and can easily become quite complex.

The RLV analysis in figure 11 intentionally omits some data, such as consumer confidence, interest rates, and the general state of the economy; it also does not attempt to make any judgments on any upcoming cyclical changes in the broader real estate market. These factors certainly could (and arguably will) affect the value of the land in question; however, their inclusion would add a layer of complexity to this analysis that would be challenging to incorporate, given the time and scope constraints of this analysis.

Figure 9: Turning Land into Density

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Office (65%)</th>
<th>Residential (35%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land area</td>
<td>26 acres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor/area ratio</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot coverage</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>3,500,000 sq ft</td>
<td>2,300,000 sq ft</td>
<td>1,200,000 sq ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ULI panel.

With “transform Independence Avenue into a boulevard” as the base scenario, the numbers prompt the question, “Will they come?”
A basic RLV can, however, provide a sufficient level of information and valuation to facilitate decisions on which product types should or should not be pursued, given current market conditions. And it can provide a relative point of comparison between development types that can be used to determine whether one product type should be pursued in advance of others. The basic form of RLV included in this analysis incorporates information compiled from contractors, brokers, analysts, and other market participants active in the KCMO real estate market and primarily includes the following key factors:

- the cost of constructing a development project;
- the income/rents that can be expected from the development;
- the expected sales price if the development were sold at stabilization; and
- a reasonable spread required by investors in the development.

Figure 10: Downtown Rents

Current Market Drivers and Absorption

As a starting point, the panel has highlighted some key market statistics that the RLV will pull from the submarkets that surround the North Loop focus area—the downtown CBD, River Market, Crossroads, and Columbus Square.

Market Drivers

Rents are obviously one of the main drivers included in the RLV analysis in figure 11 and, as figure 10 indicates, the downtown CBD submarket adjacent to the North Loop area currently has some of the strongest local office rents.

Another important driver for the RLV analysis is absorption for each product type, which the panel has calculated using the historical market performance in the surrounding submarkets, as well as the macro-level demographic and economic forecasting covered in the market analysis section of this report.

Figure 11 summarizes the RLV calculations for each product type.

As outlined above, and based on the assumptions included in the RLV analysis, the value of land in the North Loop area is negative based on current market factors and ranges from −$250.37 to −$1,074.47 per square foot, depending on the product type developed.

To address the remaining questions of effective use, phasing, and timing, the panel’s analysis must also incorporate the cost to create the development parcels included in the base development scenario. That cost includes the expenditures required to fill in the former North Loop freeway.
area and transform it into developable land parcels, such as the following:

- the cost to haul and fill the development area with suitable dirt;
- the cost of building lid or deck structures to span the existing I-70 canyon; and
- other infrastructure costs (sheeting/shoring, utilities, roads, etc.).

**Comparison of RLV to Imputed Land Basis**

The development parcels highlighted in the plan can be created only by transforming what today are portions of the I-70 (or the I-70 right-of-way) highway infrastructure into buildable parcels of land that have been filled with suitable soil or that incorporate an underground parking garage. Such parcels would need a sufficient level of infrastructure that would consist primarily of some form of sheeting and shoring system to secure the edge facing the adjacent boulevard and trunk utilities (e.g., power, water, sewer), which the new development could connect with in order to service the new building.

Based on inputs received from general contractors active in the KCMO market, the panel estimates the cost of creating those parcels at between $75 and $148 per square foot. These cost estimates indicate that it would make no economic sense for a private developer to create land that has a residual value ranging from −$250.37 to −$1,074.47 per square foot. The panel notes that in the earlier TAP analysis, assumptions were made regarding the inclusion of public subsidy to defray the cost of creating the North Loop land parcels and to help spur private development. Although the city government could choose to subsidize some projects, these RLV calculations have been completed without regard to subsidy in order to obtain an accurate value of and to understand the highest and best use for a potential development site, based solely on current market conditions. In this case, the current market conditions indicate that development is not feasible and should not be pursued without public subsidy.

As will be discussed later in this report, the panel believes that such subsidy decisions should be made in a coordinated and consolidated fashion going forward and weighed against all of the city’s economic development and infrastructure investment priorities.

**Additional Development Parcel Inventory**

At this point in the analysis, it is prudent to widen the aperture of the current view of the North Loop and to consider the surrounding landscape to determine whether...
other, alternative developable parcels exist that could be reasonably pursued.

When this view is widened to include an additional six blocks in the downtown CBD and River Market submarkets, the panel found that numerous alternative development sites (as of the dates of this Advisory Services panel) are available that could possibly be acquired for a price lower than the cost of creating new development parcels within the North Loop focus area.

According to the land sales comps obtained from local brokers and analysts active in the KCMO market, development land prices around the North Loop study area range from $8 to $40 per square foot, which would appear to make these alternative locations more attractive development sites from a market perspective. Therefore, even if public subsidy were provided to stimulate private development, the market again appears to be signaling that such development should not be pursued in the North Loop focus area at this time, but at one of the alternative sites. The reason is the level of subsidy required to stimulate development at these alternative sites would almost certainly be lower than would currently be required for the North Loop sites.

In addition to the individual development opportunities that these alternate locations provide, several are proximate enough to provide a potential land assemblage that could serve the needs of a large build-to-suit user. Although such potential assemblage sites may not provide sufficient density to fully serve a mega-user needing a space of 5 million or 8 million square feet, they do appear to provide capacity for serving users with smaller requirements more in line with typical corporate headquarters relocations.

**Phasing and Development Timeline**

Although current market conditions do not support moving forward with development opportunities in the North Loop focus area, another way to view the RLV analysis in figure 11 is to consider the rent levels that would be required for each development product type to provide a land basis of $0 per square foot. In theory, a land value of $0 per square foot would justify a more reasonable decision by the city to provide public subsidy for a private development.

Given the panel’s optimistic view of improving market conditions, it is reasonable to assume that, if these positive trends continue, the market will eventually reach a level that would justify moving forward with development in the North Loop focus area. On the basis of this information, figure 12 shows those rents (by product type) and the timing (by year) that this break-even or move-forward point would be achieved.

On the basis of these assumptions, it would appear that the earliest that development should be considered on a purely market-driven basis would be 2028. If these start dates are then overlaid with current absorption rates, a phasing plan for the development of the North Loop focus area might look like the timeline in figure 13.

**Figure 12: Time until the City Builds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Residential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Break-even rent</td>
<td>$38.40 per sq ft</td>
<td>$2.50 per sq ft/month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break-even year</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td>2031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: ULI.*
Conclusion

An RLV analysis is a useful tool to evaluate development opportunities based on current market conditions. It provides a simple indicator of whether an investment can be reasonably expected to reap an economic return sufficient to justify pursuing it. In the case of the North Loop site, the panel’s analysis clearly indicates that development should not be pursued today, because the RLVs of all product types result in negative land values and would be economically feasible only with significant public subsidy. Although the city could choose to provide such a subsidy as an incentive to spur private development, a significant number of existing, closely located, alternative development sites suggest that this would not be a prudent decision.

Although the North Loop development should not be pursued today, the panel is not suggesting that it should never be pursued. If current market conditions and the positive climate in and around Kansas City continue, the market may provide a more sensible environment to move forward starting in 2028. Were development pursued at this point, the North Loop focus area could reach full buildout and stabilization by 2040.

The city should consider these important elements as it evaluates whether to provide public subsidy to spur private development in the focus area or to construct some or all of the infrastructure necessary to create developable sites within the reconfigured North Loop focus area. Although it may be prudent to pursue such investment in the future, given the numerous other priorities that the city is currently evaluating with respect to infrastructure and public subsidy investments, repositioning the North Loop focus area today would appear to be an unwise investment.

Source: ULI panel.

Figure 13: Phasing Timeline to Stabilization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office/residential phase 1</td>
<td>Office/residential phase 2</td>
<td>Office/residential phase 3</td>
<td>Office/residential phase 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ULI panel.
DURING ITS WEEK IN KANSAS CITY, the panel heard one consistent theme from stakeholders: the fabric of this community needs to be knit back together. To some, that meant removing infrastructure barriers between areas like the North Loop; to others, it meant removing stigmas and psychological barriers separating people of different backgrounds; yet to others, it meant leveraging the assets of people to expand economic opportunity. Regardless of the reason, knitting the community and its people and neighborhoods together in new ways was an unrelenting theme in nearly every conversation.

Some stakeholders that the panel interviewed deduced that removing the concrete structure that makes up the North Loop is one way to break down these barriers. However, the panel concludes that doing so makes no financial sense—at least in the short term. It is important to be realistic about the actual economic output and transformational influence that real estate development—in isolation—can bring to a local economy, and the panel believes that the time has come for KCMO to think bigger. The panel thinks KCMO is on the cusp of amazing success—with the excitement about landing Google Fiber, launching the KC Streetcar, new investments in downtown and Crossroads, and recent accolades for the startup culture and tech sector.

It is important to note that none of that progress happened by accident. The city and its stakeholders deserve a lot of credit for getting this economic engine going. But what the city does next is critical and will define the extent to which success will grow. It is the panel’s hope that KCMO rides this wave toward unparalleled innovation, and the panel believes that KCMO can do so without losing the wonderful attributes that make it Kansas City.

The panel asked most of the stakeholders what KCMO’s competitive advantage is among peer cities and heard, almost exclusively, “high quality of life” and “low cost of living.” Those statements appear to be true, but the panel was surprised not to hear more about “the people.” The talent, work ethic, and engagement of its citizenry are what make KCMO special. The panel suggests a shift in thinking to reorient Kansas City’s economic development strategy toward its greatest asset: its people.

An attitudinal change may not be as easy or as literal as tearing down a highway, but it is possible and it is free. Rather than use financial resources to invest in new buildings, those resources should be used to invest in the people of Kansas City. The panel has devised some ways that the city can ensure that it evolves strategically and in the best interests of its residents, building on recent successes and taking economic prosperity and sustainability to the next level.

Equity, Culture, and Neighborhoods

During its week in Kansas City, the panel witnessed what appears to be an unnatural segmentation of the population, whereby both physical and psychological barriers exist to equity and access. The panel believes that the
city needs to remove those barriers in order to reach its economic potential.

Social Placemaking

The panel recommends that the city engage in “social placemaking.” As some cities face unprecedented revitalization and growth, it is often a struggle to reconcile longstanding identity and culture with rapid demographic change and physical transformations. In addition, technology has disrupted many traditional social intersections that might be the foundation for fusing an evolution of the city narrative. The needed innovation is simple: get people talking to one another and create spaces for human-centered relationships and dialogue.

Case Study: SEE/CHANGE DC

SEE/CHANGE DC is an example of a successful, creative, community-engagement project to encourage community building and foster dialogue about rapid neighborhood change. A similar endeavor in Kansas City could help create meaningful discussion about community change while also celebrating residents.

What. The video art project puts a human face on how population change and revitalization are affecting two Washington, D.C., neighborhoods, Park View and Pleasant Plains.

When. During fall 2016, video portraits of community members were projected on storefronts and on street corners along a main corridor—Georgia Avenue, N.W.—in the Park View and Pleasant Plains neighborhoods.

Who. SEE/CHANGE DC was imagined and produced by the Pink Line Project + Citizen Innovation Lab, created by Composite Company and BellVisuals, and is funded by the D.C. Office of Planning (OP) and the Kresge Foundation.

How. SEE/CHANGE DC is part of the OP’s comprehensive creative placemaking initiative, “Crossing the Street: Building DC’s Inclusive Future through Creative Placemaking,” funded by a grant from the Kresge Foundation. The grant is intended to “promote community building in neighborhoods that are experiencing rapid demographic and social change, to engage residents in conversations about the future of the District as the OP embarks on an update of D.C.’s Comprehensive Plan, and to demonstrate or test select placemaking recommendations articulated in the OP’s neighborhood plans and District Department of Transportation transit corridor studies and livability studies,” according to the OP. In December 2015, the OP released a request for applications seeking qualified curators and project managers to work with it and other District and community stakeholders to define and implement temporary creative placemaking projects. Curators were selected in early 2016, and projects such as SEE/CHANGE DC were implemented that year.

For further information, visit www.seechangedc.com.

SEE/CHANGE DC is a creative video project that uses community engagement as it inspires community building and fosters conversation about neighborhood change.
Case Study: Paper Monuments

Spurred by the removal of Reconstruction-era monuments from New Orleans, Paper Monuments is leading a community-driven, participatory process to recommend “monuments for all.” Recognizing the linkages of the past, present, and future, the program looks at the traditional role monuments have played, various ways they could be reimagined to represent the city, and how they will function in the future. By engaging the community in conversations about how people see and remember their city’s history, Paper Monuments is collecting stories and images of how people, places, events, and movements have shaped New Orleans over the past 300 years.

Paper Monuments operates as a series of events, public art, a geographic information system map of proposed monuments, artist collaborations, and a documentary of collective New Orleans history. The process includes those who choose to participate through events, actions, public interventions, and online discussion, as well as the entire city through osmosis. The pop-up nature of distributing the “paper monuments” brings in the general public through conversations and observations. Events have included poster-making workshops, community storytelling, scavenger hunts, and more. Paper Monuments is also collaborating with other artists and community activists, including the Box Truck Galley, a mobile art gallery that depicts the different understandings of the legacy of legendary runaway slave Bras Coupé.

Paper Monuments is a project designed to elevate the voices of the people of New Orleans in the process of creating new city symbols that represent all the city’s people.

Paper Monuments is funded by the Surdna Foundation. Founded in 1917, the foundation is based in New York City and has evolved from the legacy of John E. Andrus and the Andrus family. The Surdna Foundation awards grants for projects in three areas: sustainable environments, strong local economies, and thriving culture.

For further information, visit www.papermonuments.org.

These posters, part of Paper Monuments, explore New Orleans’s history from the past 300 years. These two represent the history of the San Malo Maroons and the legacy of Dorothy Mae Taylor.
Social placemaking is a people-centered prescription that works to use artistic, temporary interventions to specifically generate new, authentic connections both to place and to people. The interventions themselves tell a story and can be a vehicle for encouraging dialogue, diffusing tension, advancing social equity, and building a sense of community and stewardship.

**Mayor’s Office of Equity**

The panel recommends that the city establish a mayor’s Office of Equity—either by creating a new office or by repositioning existing staff members with similar responsibilities to lead the social placemaking effort and, expressly, to ensure equal access to jobs, education, transportation, government, open space, and health for people of all ages, races, ethnicities, and socioeconomic status.

Knitting together the fabric of a community does not stop at social integration; perspectives also need to be integrated into the decision-making process. The panel recommends that the city use the Office of Equity to bring new voices and different perspectives into public boards and commissions to ensure that the diversity of interests represented matches that of the Kansas City population at large. The panel also recommends that the city benchmark its success and encourages corporate partners to do the same.

**Celebrate KCMO Neighborhoods**

The panel witnessed a rich diversity of neighborhoods throughout the study area—each with its own attributes and interesting history—that rivals the most well-known American cities. Every major metropolitan area has distinct neighborhoods with unique attributes that people love to explore. Kansas City needs to leverage that uniqueness in the way that other cities do, and that opportunity is right on the city’s doorstep.

The panel recommends establishing a team that functions as a Neighborhood Advancement Office with designated city government liaisons and advocates for neighborhood associations. Moreover, the panel recommends using this format for proactive and heightened engagement of faith-based social services and educational organizations, which often have a direct and consistent interface with residents and know firsthand what is happening in their neighborhoods. The panel recommends establishing a formal role for neighborhood associations during the development review process to ensure that the interests of neighborhoods receive thoughtful consideration during the design and permitting stages of a project.

**Leverage Existing Partners and Resources**

The panel also recommends that the city consider how to weave a diverse offering of cultural amenities into the community at large, simply by opening access between neighborhoods. For instance, with the right type of collaboration among the neighborhood, the city, and facilitators such as the Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC) or other partners that are already active in the area, the Independence Avenue commercial district could become a culturally diverse food and retail amenity for the city.

Further, the panel recommends that the city focus on new business formation and entrepreneurship as a way to break the cycle of poverty and assess whether sufficient...
tools and programs exist to support that effort. Partners such as LISC, the Kauffman Foundation, faith-based organizations, and community development corporations are all groups that are likely to help the city assess the effectiveness of existing startup tools and to recommend policy and programmatic changes to ensure that startups and entrepreneurship of all kinds are supported.

The panel believes that untapped resources exist to support much of this equity work through the Ford and Kresge foundations, and perhaps even the Kauffman or Block foundations. Initiatives such as the New Markets Tax Credit Program and the Community Reinvestment Act present opportunities to leverage outside funds to advance these types of initiatives at no or low cost to taxpayers.

Fueling the Innovation Economy

As is the case for many other jurisdictions, talent is hard to come by in a near-full-employment economy. But it seems there are some good opportunities that the city can leverage to put a dent in that challenge.

Attracting and retaining young people is imperative. Efforts to attract and retain millennials and members of generation Z need to be ramped up, taken seriously, and invested in—by both public and private partners.

Similarly, a strong education system is paramount to supporting long-term economic growth. The panel recommends that the city continue its commitment to education at every level. The panel supports the continued focus on initiatives such as Turn the Page, a program to raise the reading proficiency of third graders, and specifically recommends focusing on revitalizing downtown schools. The city should consider using the Office of Equity to work with school leaders—including charters and private schools—to ensure that students of all socioeconomic backgrounds have access to both public and alternative schools. That achievement will benefit current students and families and will also support the long-term goal of mainstream attitudinal change toward equity.

The tech sector is thriving in Kansas City, and it presents an excellent opportunity to create high-paying jobs across industry clusters for people with varying levels of educational attainment. CBRE’s “Scoring Tech Talent Report” ranked Kansas City 16th in the nation for growth in the tech workforce, and Entrepreneur magazine ranked Kansas City the fourth-hottest startup market in the nation. Although that is very good news, the tech sector cannot sustain itself without the minds and bodies to support it.

The panel believes the city should establish a Talent Pipeline roundtable that engages leaders of industry, education, and workforce development in talent development, retention, and recruitment. The city should organize groups such as the KC Tech Council, the Kansas City Area Development Council, Code the Block, and others to focus on such endeavors as the following:

- Bring satellite campuses of area colleges to downtown, particularly programs that focus on science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. If this effort becomes challenging, the panel recommends using financial or other incentives to accomplish this goal.

- Facilitate a recruiting network for area companies to tap into area high school and college students.

- Facilitate high school and college internship programs to build a talent pipeline.

- Attract and expand well-known incubator platforms and idea contests, such as TechStars, WeWork, and MassChallenge.
People without a four-year degree also have a place in an innovation economy. The community colleges and technical high schools should play an active role in developing training programs to prepare students to meet the current and future needs of area employers.

**Land Use and Development**

As stated earlier, there is a strong need for a comprehensive downtown master plan. The panel believes that it should be developed through widespread, authentic, and outside-the-box thinking around civic engagement.

The panel has already laid out the financial challenges for new development on the North Loop and has identified a map of potential development parcels spread throughout the downtown. The panel’s recommendation is to catalog those sites and strive to achieve a status of development readiness that will allow the city to respond quickly when business development opportunities arise.

The Amazon request for proposal (RFP) gives the city a basis on which to start some of this early work, and the panel recommends that it continue regardless of whether the Amazon RFP is successful. If not Amazon, it will be another company, and being prepared with a slate of pre-permitted, pad-ready sites in the downtown will enable Kansas City to compete more effectively for high-value companies and their headquarters.

**Rethinking Government, Programs, and Regionalization**

The preceding recommendations can work only if a system of clear and accountable implementation is in place. Although many different layers of activism exist in KCMO, overlap, redundancy, and confusion exist among the stakeholders regarding roles and responsibilities. The panel recommends that the city assess whether the current system makes sense or whether it should be reconfigured. Again, the city is encouraged to pay particular attention to the stakeholders and constituencies represented to ensure that the mix of stakeholders reflects the population at large.
Conclusion

THE PANEL HAS SHARED the current market situation and provided potential conservative, moderate, and aggressive scenarios for redevelopment of the North Loop study area. However, the reality is that the panel believes now is not the optimal time to pursue any large-scale development. Although the Buck O’Neil Bridge must be addressed and some highway connections may be realigned in conjunction with the bridge project, the absence of resources and the fact that redevelopment costs far exceed land value make any big idea infeasible now. Anything done in this area must be planned strategically and with a long-term vision.

No successful initiative is a quick fix or a plan for an overnight success. Vision requires long-term commitment and the ability to constantly evolve with the times and community needs.

The panel believes that Kansas City should focus on the many projects and initiatives already underway to meet those economic goals of increasing development density and adding property tax revenues. When critical mass is reached, Kansas City will be poised to be even more competitive in pursuing large projects and developments. And if the city is working from a master plan fully supported by city leadership, physical barriers will be strategically removed over time.

Much of the panel’s discussion concerned the psychological barriers. Those are more difficult to remove than physical ones and will require meaningful, bold action. The panel has suggested some tools to improve outreach to the entire population of Kansas City. If those tools are used properly, the city can start bridging any divisions that make many feel disenfranchised.

It will take commitment and honest dialogue from the government and from the business, arts, sports, faith, education, social service, and neighborhood communities to find ways to collectively and individually reach out and include new voices representing the minority and ethnic populations that make up 40 percent of the city. Kansas City must continue to look around and ask, “Who is missing today?” Tomorrow each time the city comes together to decide its future, it should ask, “Who is missing at the table?”

The panel heard repeatedly from many community members it met throughout the panel week that it was important to “knit the fabric” of the community back together. Yes, that is an important aspect of the built environment, but “knitting the fabric” between a city’s people is also an important aspect of building community.

Kansas City is known for civic involvement, which has been the foundation on which all its accomplishments rest. It is the reason so many stay here, the reason so many return here, and more and more the reason the next generation is deciding to move here.
Just imagine the compelling story when that tradition of civic involvement includes everyone. Just imagine how much stronger, more vibrant and dynamic the city will be as diversity and inclusion motivate the best urbanism today. Yes, this will push a new agenda for Kansas City, and it is the city’s agenda, the city’s vision—that of economic strength and entrepreneurial growth, that of opportunity and competitiveness, sustainability, and a robust quality of life downtown and throughout a city that benefits everyone. Kansas City is literally and figuratively at a crossroads. The panel is confident that KCMO will seize this moment in its rich history, write its next chapter of successes, and continue moving forward!

The panel encourages the city to focus on the following major recommendations first:

- Develop a downtown master plan.
- Incorporate creative outreach strategies and bring in its community partners to all future efforts with the North Loop study area.
- Leverage the momentum of its successful education programs.
- Seek regional cooperation; it is not a choice, it is a necessity.
- Expand the KC Streetcar.
- Focus on downtown infill as short-term/immediate development.
- Bring Missouri Route 9 back to grade.
- Reconnect Independence Avenue.
- Recognize that the vision for the North Loop is possible, but not today; redevelopment should be part of a large strategic visioning exercise for the city.

With regard to specific next steps, the panel recommends the following:

1. Determine whether to rebuild or replace Buck O’Neil Bridge.
2. Prepare a request for qualifications/request for proposals for a master plan.
3. Bring Missouri Route 9 back to grade.
4. Reconnect Independence Avenue.
5. Explore and practice social placemaking programs.
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